

BRIEF

DECEMBER 2017

THE RETURN OF GLOBAL RUSSIA: AN ANALYTICAL FRAMEWORK

PAUL STRONSKI AND RICHARD SOKOLSKY

Since 2012, Russia has been conducting a sophisticated, well-resourced, and, thus far, successful campaign to expand its global influence at the expense of the United States and other Western countries. Moscow has pursued a host of objectives, such as tarnishing democracy and undermining the U.S.-led liberal international order, especially in places of traditional U.S. influence; dividing Western political and security institutions; demonstrating Russia's return as a global superpower; bolstering Vladimir Putin's domestic legitimacy; and promoting Russian commercial, military, and energy interests.

Though its foreign actions are often opportunistic, Russia increasingly aims to create a multipolar world in which it plays a more prominent role. Moscow's national security establishment broadly supports this international outreach, which will likely remain an enduring feature of Russian foreign policy. Washington and its allies must carefully judge Russian actions case by case and respond in concert when possible.

The Kremlin's International Agenda

- Moscow has relied on relatively inexpensive diplomatic, military, intelligence, cyber, trade, energy, and financial tools to wield influence and expand its global footprint.
- The Kremlin has capitalized on Western missteps and growing anti-establishment sentiments in Europe and North America.
- Russia will likely continue trying to fill global power vacuums resulting from U.S. President Donald Trump's "America First" foreign policy.

Implications for U.S. Foreign Policy

Formulating an effective response to Russia's global activism will be challenging. To do so, U.S. policymakers should consider four broad points:

- Ask the first-order question. How do Russia's actions affect U.S. interests and foreign policy goals? In some areas, Russian activities have damaged U.S. interests, but elsewhere their impact has been symbolic rather than substantive. Russian activity should not be conflated with Russian success.
- Weigh costs and benefits. Russia's approach has had mixed results. Its investments in the Middle
 East have paid off handsomely. But in Europe, Russian actions have mobilized Western governments
 to counter them. Notably, Moscow has fewer resources than the West, serious domestic problems,
 and no real allies.
- Avoid overreacting. Not every instance of Russian activism threatens the U.S.-led international order or U.S. security. At a minimum, Washington and its allies should expose Moscow's tactics. More robust responses are justified when important U.S. and allied interests are threatened—and when Washington has realistic, sustainable means to thwart Moscow's ambitions without exacerbating the situation.
- Leverage partnerships and eschew a one-size-fits-all approach. There is no cookie-cutter solution
 for countering Russian adventurism. Washington need not bear this burden alone; it should develop
 tailored strategies with other actors to deter, contain, and, if necessary, roll back Russian influence
 operations.

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Paul Stronski is a senior fellow in Carnegie's Russia and Eurasia Program, where his research focuses on the relationship between Russia and neighboring countries in Central Asia and the South Caucasus.

Richard Sokolsky is a nonresident senior fellow in Carnegie's Russia and Eurasia Program, where his work focuses on U.S. security policy toward Russia.

CONTACT

Tara Medeiros
Deputy Director of Communications
+1 202 939 2372
tmedeiros@ceip.org

CarnegieEndowment.org



@CarnegieEndow



facebook.com/ CarnegieEndowment

CARNEGIE ENDOWMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACE

The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace is a unique global network of policy research centers in Russia, China, Europe, the Middle East, India, and the United States. Our mission, dating back more than a century, is to advance the cause of peace through analysis and development of fresh policy ideas and direct engagement and collaboration with decisionmakers in government, business, and civil society. Working together, our centers bring the inestimable benefit of multiple national viewpoints to bilateral, regional, and global issues.

© 2017 Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. All rights reserved.

The Carnegie Endowment does not take institutional positions on public policy issues; the views represented here are the authors' own and do not necessarily reflect the views of Carnegie, its staff, or its trustees.