

INDIA'S STRATEGIC CHOICES: CHINA AND THE BALANCE OF POWER IN ASIA

RAJESH RAJAGOPALAN

India is a rising power, but its transformation is occurring in the shadow of China's even more impressive ascent. Beijing's influence will almost certainly continue to grow and has already upset Asia's geopolitical balance. India must decide how to secure its interests in this unbalanced environment by choosing among six potential strategic options: staying unaligned, hedging, building indigenous military power, forming regional partnerships, aligning with China, or aligning with the United States. A closer alignment with Washington likely represents India's best chance to counter China, while efforts to foster regional partnerships and cultivate domestic military capabilities, although insufficient by themselves, could play a complementary role.

Challenges Posed by China's Rise

- China is a direct military threat to India, particularly in light of the two countries' border disputes. Though India has considerable military power, China's forces are already stronger and better-funded; Beijing's outsized wealth will likely allow it to outspend New Delhi for the foreseeable future.
- Beijing's influence in both established international organizations like the United Nations and in new institutions China is setting up, such as the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank, gives Beijing opportunities to hamper Indian interests and goals in multilateral forums, especially when it comes

to reforming these institutions and giving India a greater voice in global affairs.

- China's alignment with Pakistan and deepening relations with other South Asian countries represents a significant challenge to India's position in the region, which New Delhi has dominated for decades. Beijing's ability to provide financial assistance and balance against New Delhi may tempt India's smaller neighbors to play one power against the other, undermining India in its own backyard.
- China's economic power allows Beijing to spread its influence around the world, which could be used to India's detriment.

India's Potential Policy Responses

- A strategy of nonalignment, hedging, or alignment with China likely would not serve India's interests because China's power, geographical proximity, and policies already represent a clear danger to India's security and global interests.
- A closer alignment with the United States, further along the same policy path that India is already
 pursuing, represents the best way to meet the challenge of China's rise, because the United States is the
 only power that is stronger than China. Further, New Delhi and Washington share a common interest
 in balancing Beijing.
- Among India's other strategic options, efforts to build indigenous military power and forge regional
 partnerships are necessary and complementary means of countering China, but are by themselves
 insufficient, because China is already wealthier and stronger than India or any combination of other
 Asian powers.

BRIEF

SEPTEMBER 2017

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Rajesh Rajagopalan is a

professor of international politics at Jawaharlal Nehru University in New Delhi. He is the author of three books: Nuclear South Asia: Keywords and Concepts (jointly with Atul Mishra), Fighting Like a Guerrilla: The Indian Army and Counterinsurgency, and Second Strike: Arguments About Nuclear War in South Asia.

CONTACT

Shivnath Thukral Managing Director sthukral@ceip.org

CarnegieIndia.org



CARNEGIE ENDOWMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACE

The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace is a unique global network of policy research centers in Russia, China, Europe, the Middle East, India, and the United States. Our mission, dating back more than a century, is to advance the cause of peace through analysis and development of fresh policy ideas and direct engagement and collaboration with decisionmakers in government, business, and civil society. Working together, our centers bring the inestimable benefit of multiple national viewpoints to bilateral, regional, and global issues.

© 2017 Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. All rights reserved.

The Carnegie Endowment does not take institutional positions on public policy issues; the views represented here are the author's own and do not necessarily reflect the views of Carnegie, its staff, or its trustees.