

A MORE PLURALIST APPROACH TO EUROPEAN DEMOCRACY SUPPORT

RICHARD YOUNGS AND KATERYNA PISHCHIKOVA

European support for democracy is at a crucial juncture. Just as the eurozone crisis complicates the European Union's (EU's) efforts to support democratic reform around the world, new forms of political transition are confounding the EU's traditional approach to democracy building. The EU must embrace a wider variety of tactics, models, actors, and strategies, or it risks losing credibility and traction in the field of democracy support.

Challenges Facing the EU

- The EU's approach to democracy support still relies heavily on exporting its own technical rules.
- The eurozone crisis, which has not been managed very democratically, has dented the EU's external image. This undermines the appeal of the EU's brand of democracy.
- Today's political transitions are diverse, making it even more difficult for the EU to apply the same strategies to all regimes.
- Governments attempting to hold on to power have become more adept at restricting outside support for democratic reform, and

EU governments have not developed tactics to get around regime clampdowns.

- The EU focuses on elite-led reform and has not adequately adapted to new types of grassroots activism.
- European democracy-promotion efforts are further stymied because the strategies of member states and EU-level institutions are not well coordinated.
- The transatlantic community needs the support of rising democracies for its policies; at present, those states tend to be more concerned with respecting sovereignty than promoting democracy.

Next Steps for Europe

Stop primarily relying on technical rules to promote democracy. European policies must address states' internal political weaknesses that impede democratic reform.

Push back against regimes' restrictions on civil society support. To neutralize accusations that democracy supporters are meddling in countries' internal political affairs, EU institutions and member states should be transparent, inclusive, and impartial when working with civil society.

Ensure policies reflect local contexts. EU institutions and member states must partner with a wider range of civic actors and social movements, and better appreciate the eclectic dynamics of political change.

Learn the lessons of the eurozone crisis. Efforts to improve the poor health of democracy within Europe must be dovetailed with external democracy support.

Better synchronize member-state and EU-level initiatives. Member-state actions should not undercut or compete with common European democracy support objectives. All actors should coordinate their policies and harness national initiatives more creatively and dynamically.

Cooperate more systematically with rising democracies. Europeans should take advice from these states about promoting democratic values.

BRIEF

OCTOBER 2013

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

Richard Youngs is a senior associate in the Carnegie Endowment's Democracy and Rule of Law Program. He is an expert on the foreign policy of the European Union, in particular on questions of democracy support.

Kateryna Pishchikova is a visiting scholar at the Cornell Institute for European Studies. She is an expert on democratization and democracy promotion, especially in the European neighborhood.

CONTACT

Christine Lynch Senior Communications Manager +32 2 209 29 93 clynch@ceip.org

CarnegieEurope.eu



facebook.com/ CarnegieEurope

CARNEGIE ENDOWMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACE

The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace is a unique global network of policy research centers in Russia, China, Europe, the Middle East, and the United States. Our mission, dating back more than a century, is to advance the cause of peace through analysis and development of fresh policy ideas and direct engagement and collaboration with decisionmakers in government, business, and civil society. Working together, our centers bring the inestimable benefit of multiple national viewpoints to bilateral, regional, and global issues.

© 2013 Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. All rights reserved.

The Carnegie Endowment does not take institutional positions on public policy issues; the views represented here are the authors' own and do not necessarily reflect the views of Carnegie, its staff, or its trustees.