

CAN INDIA GROW? CHALLENGES, OPPORTUNITIES, AND THE WAY FORWARD

V. ANANTHA NAGESWARAN AND GULZAR NATARAJAN

Despite India's impressive economic growth rates in the mid-2000s, the longterm magnitude and sustainability of this progress remains uncertain. India's rapid population expansion requires that the country sustain long-term growth to enable job creation over time. For the country to achieve this enduring trajectory, India must correctly identify the economic fundamentals behind such growth. This should include both short-term, cyclical barriers and long-term, structural impediments that hold it back. Articulating a set of policy priorities and guiding principles that address these issues is the best way forward for India's future economic prospects.

Hurdles to Long-Term Economic Growth

- India's high-growth phase of 2003–2008 had much to do with growth-friendly global economic conditions that have since run their course.
- The country's domestic structural deficiencies—namely poor human resource capabilities; a narrow and predominantly informal industrial base; and a fragmented, low-productivity primary sector—keep a lid on growth and a floor on inflation.
- India also faces formidable longterm headwinds due to premature

Policy Recommendations

services-led growth model, the plateauing of global trade, stagnation in developed economies, and the costs associated with climate change.

deindustrialization, the limitations of a

- The country's state capacity deficiencies amplify the effects of these constraints.
- These hurdles must be seen in light of favorable tailwinds such as low commodity prices, China's economic slowdown, and India's relative attractiveness as an investment destination.

Embrace a feasible growth model. Lasting economic progress is best achieved by realistically assessing India's structural impediments and growth potential. The government must accept that sustained growth rates of 8 percent or more are likely out of reach, and it should resist short-term growth strategies that rely on bubbles and fads by instead promising less and delivering more. The government should vigilantly steer clear of both state-led import substitution and overreliance on market-based policy prescriptions.

Prioritize growth-friendly policies. The Indian government should pursue reforms in the areas of higher and lower education; urban governance; housing, land, credit, and labor markets; and infrastructure contracting. It should also seek to shrink the informal economy and expand the tax base, while also improving state capacity and personnel management.

Foster greater federalism. Indian states should be allowed to engage both cooperatively and competitively with the central government and with each other. Proactive bottom-up actions by state governments will be needed to effectively scale up the fragmented agricultural sector and industrial production.

BRIEF

NOVEMBER 2016

ABOUT THE AUTHORS

V. Anantha Nageswaran teaches courses on international economics, exchange rates, and financial markets to graduate students at the Singapore Management University and at the Indian Institutes of Management in Bangalore and Indore in India.

Gulzar Natarajan worked as a director in the Office of the Prime Minister of India, and he is currently based in London and works for the Global Innovation Fund.

CONTACT

Shivnath Thukral Managing Director sthukral@ceip.org

CarnegieIndia.org



facebook.com/ Carnegie-India

CARNEGIE ENDOWMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACE

The Carnegie Endowment for International Peace is a unique global network of policy research centers in Russia, China, Europe, the Middle East, India, and the United States. Our mission, dating back more than a century, is to advance the cause of peace through analysis and development of fresh policy ideas and direct engagement and collaboration with decisionmakers in government, business, and civil society. Working together, our centers bring the inestimable benefit of multiple national viewpoints to bilateral, regional, and global issues.

© 2016 Carnegie Endowment for International Peace. All rights reserved.

The Carnegie Endowment does not take institutional positions on public policy issues; the views represented here are the authors' own and do not necessarily reflect the views of Carnegie, its staff, or its trustees.