

The Logic Behind China's Foreign Aid Agency

CHENG CHENG

China has managed to raise more than 700 million people out of absolute poverty since the country's reform and opening period began in the late 1970s. Over four decades, the country has transformed itself from a major recipient of foreign aid into a critical provider of investment and development resources for the Global South. China's methods of providing development aid differ in many respects from the prevailing standards of official development assistance (ODA) set by the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). Yet the two approaches both aim to improve developing countries' economic performances and social welfare systems, while also serving the national interests of donor countries.

China's foreign aid program has been the subject of recurring but gradual reforms—a trend that mirrors the country's overall economic trajectory and one that continues today. Beijing established the China International Development Cooperation Agency (CIDCA) roughly a year ago in April 2018, and the country's efforts to reform its development aid model are ongoing. The agency has been tasked with lofty goals, but near-term expectations must be tempered by

lingering questions about how it fits into the country's existing foreign aid bureaucracy. After all, China has been providing foreign aid for decades, and the Chinese international development community has been calling for a bilateral aid agency since the early 2000s. Examining the history of Chinese foreign aid and the logic behind the young development agency's founding can help contextualize its status and the role it will play.

THE EVOLUTION OF CHINESE FOREIGN ASSISTANCE

Under the current regime in Beijing, China's practice of sending resources to neighboring countries goes back to the early 1950s, although some of this assistance does not fit neatly within a modern conception of ODA. Facing the pressure of perceived U.S. containment efforts and U.S. foreign aid programs in Asia, China launched its own self-described external assistance programs, which included military and food assistance to North Korea and Vietnam to support their struggles against U.S. and French military forces, respectively, in the early 1950s.

China's approach to foreign assistance gradually coalesced around a set of principles emphasizing recipient countries' sovereignty and mutual benefit. In 1964, during a visit to Accra, Ghana, then Chinese premier Zhou Enlai unveiled a formalized set of ideas that Beijing still describes as governing China's approach to foreign aid known as the Eight Principles. These staples of Chinese diplomacy include precepts like sovereign independence, noninterference in the domestic affairs of other countries, and equal cooperation. Meanwhile, China engaged in bouts of so-called checkbook diplomacy to compete with Taiwan for diplomatic recognition, funding large-scale aid projects in many African countries and elsewhere, such as the TAZARA Railway connecting Tanzania and Zambia.¹ These expenditures put a huge burden on the Chinese economy and prompted some foreign critics to accuse China of supporting only socialist leaders. By the end of the Cultural Revolution, large foreign aid projects had become part of chairman Mao Zedong's legacy: foreign aid amounted to 5.9 percent of total government spending from 1971 to 1975, peaking at 6.9 percent in 1973.2

As its reform period got under way in the late 1970s, China began to restructure its aid programs. After Beijing and Washington established diplomatic relations in 1979, Chinese leaders became less concerned with their competition with Taipei for international support. Hence, China stopped offering new aid projects and devoted itself to maintaining the projects it had already established in the Global South.

This trend continued until China began reforming the institutions tasked with administering its external aid in the mid-1990s. At that point, the Chinese government established an interministry coordination system on foreign aid that involved an array of state organs, including the Ministry of Commerce, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the State Administration of Foreign Exchange, the Ministry of Education, and the Ministry of Agriculture. More importantly, two new policy banks were launched in 1994, the Export-Import Bank of China and the China Development

Bank. Beijing started stating that its foreign aid was designed to pursue common development rather than offer recipients one-way benefits. And the two banks gradually became the pillars of China's foreign aid and development finance. In 2000, Chinese foreign aid began to garner international attention when the country hosted the first Forum on China-Africa Cooperation, a new multilateral venue that soon began to function as the main platform for cooperation between Beijing and its African partners.

More recently in late 2013, under President Xi Jinping, Beijing renewed its commitment to financing major infrastructure projects in other countries by announcing the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). The initiative quickly became a leading component of China's overall foreign policy and set off another round of reforms to the country's foreign aid programs. These latest reforms are meant to achieve multiple goals. First, these changes aim to improve the efficiency and effectiveness of China's foreign aid by cleaning up the country's foreign aid system. Second, in response to foreign criticism for mixing commercial deals with development assistance, Beijing intends to differentiate its foreign aid from commercial financing packages. Third, China seems to want to integrate into its foreign aid portfolio and the BRI a greater range of socially conscious development projects, in areas such as agriculture, public health, and education.

THE CASE FOR A CHINESE DEVELOPMENT AGENCY

In that spirit, since late 2015, the Chinese central government has begun to consolidate the monitoring and management mechanisms of the country's aid portfolio by issuing several new regulations and anticorruption measures, as well as by enforcing due diligence requirements for its overseas aid projects. As part of this process, in March 2018, China decided to restructure its foreign aid management system by establishing the CIDCA, a bilateral aid agency.

The logic behind the new agency is not difficult to understand. As China's aid and development spending have grown in prominence, many new actors have emerged. The BRI has further complicated China's aid-related operations, and the old aid coordination system failed to regulate Beijing's development activities and improve their efficiency. As China has become a major global donor, media outlets around the world have closely scrutinized its behavior in developing countries. Given that, establishing a single agency to coordinate development aid was a natural move.

First, the CIDCA might distinguish China's foreign aid from more commercially oriented financial flows. Since the early 2000s, established donors have questioned whether Chinese aid to Africa, for instance, conforms to standard OECD conceptions of development assistance. The Chinese government has issued two official documents—the 2011 and 2014 editions of the "White Paper on China's Foreign Aid"-stating that most of the financial flows in question were never included in the official foreign aid budget, but these critiques have worsened over time. Some observers have accused Beijing of being a rogue donor and practicing neocolonialism and debt trap diplomacy. Beyond the problem of transparency, another reason for these critiques is that these financial flows were controlled and monitored by the Ministry of Commerce, which is meant to promote trade and investment, not oversee development in other countries. The newly established CIDCA will be in charge of the financial flows that are concessional in nature (or the parts that qualify as ODA), such as grants as well as no-interest and concessional loans. Meanwhile, the commercial financial arrangements, such as preferential buyers' credits and equity investments for development purposes (from investment funds such as the China-Africa Development Fund under the China Development Bank, or the China-Africa Industrial Cooperation Fund under the Export-Import Bank of China), will remain under the control of the Ministry of Commerce. China hopes the reputation of its foreign aid programs will improve if the country better distinguishes between different financing vehicles and

provides a clearer picture of its development assistance practices.

Second, the CIDCA will strive to become a unified actor at the center of China's foreign aid system. Previously, Beijing relied on a coordination system among several ministries and policy banks instead of a single agency to oversee its aid portfolio. Not surprisingly, the interests and goals of those actors were not always aligned, which undercut the efficiency and effectiveness of China's overseas development efforts. This coordinating function will be particularly important to the CIDCA because China's aid budget grew at an annual rate of about 14 percent between 2003 and 2015. Understandably, all the ministries involved in this policy process wanted a larger share of these funds. A unified agency tasked specifically with foreign aid will aim to ease the tensions among these competing ministries and improve the performance of China's aid programs.

Helping to facilitate BRI projects is another function of the newly established CIDCA. The BRI poses great challenges to China's foreign aid programs since new agencies and bilateral and multilateral development finance institutions have been created to support it. The old policy coordination system could not operate effectively with the many new players, such as the New Development Bank, the Asian Infrastructure Investment Bank, the Silk Road Fund, and other more narrowly focused entities. With the CIDCA now in place, in theory, there will be only one agency managing all the aid-related projects among all the aforementioned institutions.

Third, the CIDCA will aim to promote studies and policy recommendations pertaining to Chinese foreign aid. Compared to many Western countries, China has not invested enough in researching international development, a subject studied in only a few universities and institutes. The government officials working on aid can barely keep pace with the growth of China's aid-related expenditures. Most traditional donor countries are equipped with a fairly strong capacity

for research on a wide range of subjects regarding aid, usually via their bilateral aid agencies such as the United States Agency for International Development, the Japan International Cooperation Agency, and the United Kingdom's Department for International Development. By contrast, the Chinese government possesses a somewhat limited research capacity for aidrelated activities, especially in terms of on-the-ground project implementation. It is not feasible for only a few individuals from the economic and commercial counselor's office (in-country Ministry of Commerce representatives) to work on all of China's development projects. A unified agency with strong foreign aid research capabilities would help improve efficiency by helping the central government choose which projects to support.

SETTING REALISTIC EXPECTATIONS

The CIDCA falls directly under the State Council, the country's highest administrative body, and combines the foreign aid branches of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and the Ministry of Commerce. Although the agency's founding is an encouraging development, it is important to temper expectations, especially since the CIDCA's status as a deputy ministry might limit its implementation capacity. There are several hurdles on the horizon. First, it might be difficult to expand the size of the CIDCA's staff. Without sufficient resources, the agency may struggle to wholly fulfill its governance and research functions. Second, it could be challenging for a deputy ministerial-level agency to coordinate foreign aid projects under other ministries, such as the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Health, and the Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Affairs. Third, China's development agency might encounter difficulties trying to monitor and supervise some stateowned enterprises led by the central government, since

they are of the same rank as deputy ministries in the country's administrative and bureaucratic chain of command.

So while the logic behind the CIDCA is fairly clear, the actual role of the new agency in China's foreign aid system will continue to be fine-tuned. The launch of the CIDCA is a reassuring initial step for China's efforts to reform its foreign aid, but more measures and steps will be needed over time for the new agency to fulfill its intended functions.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Cheng Cheng is chief economist at the Made in Africa Initiative (MIAI). This international nongovernmental organization is dedicated to the industrialization of Africa by bringing together the efforts of traditional and emerging donors.

This article is part of a series resulting from a workshop on Chinese international development aid hosted by the Carnegie—Tsinghua Center. The center is grateful to the Rockefeller Foundation for the support that made this series possible. The author thanks Armine Arzumanyan for assisting with the research for this publication.

NOTES

- 1 TAZARA refers to the Tanzania-Zambia Railway, which connects Dar es Salaam and Kapiri-Mposhi. The 1,860-kilometer-long railway, a major Chinese foreign aid project, was constructed between 1970 and 1976.
- 2 Lin Shi, *Dangdai Zhongguo de Duiwai Jingji Hezuo* [Contemporary China's external economic cooperation] (Beijing: Chinese Social Science Press, 1989), 68.

For your convenience, this document contains hyperlinked source notes as indicated by teal-colored text.

